CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO. R5-2007-XXXX

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS THE BOEING COMPANY SIGMA COMPLEX INSITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDIATOIN PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Regional Water Board) finds that:

- 1. On 15 March 2007 The Boeing Company (hereafter Discharger) submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) for a bioremediation pilot project to evaluate the potential for in-situ treatment of groundwater containing significant concentrations of perchlorate and low concentrations trichloroethylene (TCE) at the Sigma Complex at the Inactive Rancho Cordova Test Site (IRCTS). The Discharger also submitted additional information on 11 April 2007. The Discharger will be constructing and operating the pilot project and the Aerojet-General Corporation (Aerojet) is the current owner of the land on which the project will take place.
- 2. The project site location is shown on Attachment A, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.
- 3. The project is located in the eastern central portion of the IRCTS property in Rancho Cordova, in Sections 6 and 7, T8N, R7E MDB&M. Agricultural and light industrial activities border the project location. The project site plan is shown on Attachment B, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.
- 4. The project is on Assessor's Parcel No. 072-0370-070.
- 5. The objectives of the pilot project are to confirm the ability of the indigenous bacteria within the groundwater along the western side of the Sigma Complex to biodegrade perchlorate to treatment goals through electron donor addition, and quantify the rate and extent of perchlorate biodegradation by these indigenous bacteria; assess the impacts of the in-situ bioremediation process on secondary groundwater quality; assess the ability of the active extraction system to provide the required level of hydraulic control for plume containment and treatment; identify design and operational factors that influence the successful performance of the in-situ bioremediation approach, and optimize system operation with respect to these factors; and, generate performance, design and cost data that can be used for evaluation and possible implementation of a full-scale system.
- 6. Aerojet-General Corporation has previously conducted several pilot studies using a similar process as that proposed at its Superfund site to the north of the IRCTS and along the western boundary of the IRCTS. Those pilot studies have shown that remediation of perchlorate in the manner proposed successfully reduced perchlorate concentrations to less than 4 μg/L.

SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Project Layout and Operation

- 7. Groundwater beneath the IRCTS contains the pollutants perchlorate and TCE. Perchlorate is a component of solid rocket propellant and has a draft Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL), or Primary Drinking Water Standard, for drinking water proposed by the California Department of Health Services of 6 micrograms per liter (µg/L). TCE is a solvent used primarily in cleaning operations and has a Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 5 µg/L. In addition, the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment has established Public Health Goals for TCE and perchlorate in water of 0.8 µg/L and 6 µg/L, respectively. Historically, groundwater beneath the project area contains up to 4100 µg/L perchlorate and 9.9 µg/L TCE.
- 8. The pilot project will be targeting groundwater in the uppermost water-bearing zone. This unconfined water-bearing zone consists of sands and gravels extending from approximately 122-182 feet below ground surface. The groundwater is flowing west-southwest underneath the project area. The estimated groundwater velocity is 300 feet per year.
- 9. One extraction well, one recharge well, and at least seven groundwater monitor well nests have, or will be, constructed for the project. As shown on Attachement B, the extraction well is located on the western edge with the recharge well upgradient of the perchlorate plume along the eastern edge. Groundwater monitor wells are positioned between the injection and extraction wells and downgradient from the injection well. Groundwater will be extracted from the extraction well at up to a total of 150 gallons per minute (gpm) and amended with an electron donor/carbon source and discharged back into the aquifer via the recharge well. The electron donor will be either will likely be citric acid, though acetate, lactate or ethanol could be used.
- 10. Prior to adding the amendments, aquifer tests will be conducted to evaluate the capture of the ability of the extraction well to capture the water injected in the recharge well. The results of the aquifer tests will be used to adjust the flow of the extraction well to assure that capture occurs. The aquifer tests will used bromide as a tracer. The bromide concentration in the short-duration aquifer during the tests will range between 100 and 1000 μg/L, well below the National Academy of Scienes Health Advisory Level for bromide of 2300 μg/L.
- 11. The electron donor will stimulate the growth of bacteria in a small portion of saturated subsurface to degrade the perchlorate into chloride and oxygen atoms. It is also hoped that the low concentrations of TCE will be degraded by the bacteria to ethene and thence to carbon dioxide. Nitrate concentrations will also be reduced by the bacteria. The electron donor dosage will be balanced with the amount of electron receptor (oxygen, nitrate, perchlorate, and sulfate) present in the extracted groundwater. This will minimize potential adverse impacts on groundwater quality. Based on the concentrations of oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, perchlorate and TCE in the groundwaver, the citric acid dosage in the injected groundwater will be approximately 1,300 mg/L for a period of one hour per day. If degradation of perchlorate is not complete at that dosage, the concentration would be increased incrementaly. If excess donor exists at the extraction well, then the donor flow-rate will be decreased.
- 12. Within the treatment zone, the area between the extraction well and the rechrage well, concentrations of dissolved iron and manganese will likely increase over background concentrations due to the reducing conditions. Following termination of the addition of amendments, the reducing

WASTE DISCAHRGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R5-2007-XXXX THE BOEING COMPANY SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

conditions will revert to pre-operation conditions with the dissovled oxygen and oxidation/reduction potential returning to ambient values. The iron and manganese will, over time, return to the pre-operation concentrations. The extraction and injection wells may continue to operate in a modified manner until the pre-operation conditions are substantially restored in the aquifer.

- 13. Based on past evaluations on the Aerojet facility, the estimated half-life for reduction of perchlorate is approximately 1 day. Thus, to reduce perchlorate concentrations from 4000 µg/L to less than 4 µg/L will take approximately 10 days wihin the reactive zone. With an average groundwater velocity of approximately 300 feet/year, the perchlorate would be expected to be removed within nine feet of the recharge well. However, the groundwater velocity at the recharge well will be greater than the average velocity in the aquifer. At the Aerojet facility, the reduction took place within 25 to 75 feet of the recharge well.
- 14. If needed, chlorine dioxide will be added periodically to reduce biological growth around the recharge well to maintain the permeability of the recharge zone.
- 15. Groundwater will be monitored downgradient from the extraction and recharge wells to provide information to assess the biodegradation of perchlorate and TCE, evaluate the capture and recharge fields, and monitor changes in groundwater chemistry.
- 16. The area downgradient from the test area is degraded by perchlorate for a distance of over two miles. The Discharger, along with Aerojet, are in the process of constructing a cleanup action to halt the downgradient migration of the perchlorate plume. This cleanup is being undertaken pursuant to Cleanup and Abatement Order No. 97-012. After achieving containment of the perchlorate plume, the Discharger and Aerojet may undertake additional actions to clean up the plume by installing additional extraction wells within the plume. Thus, waters beneath and downgradient from the test area covered under this permit, will be included in a cleanup action that will be have construction completed in the near future.
- 17. If the initial project proves to be successful, the operation will be expanded to provide a barrier across the plume to control the flux of perchlorate leaving the Sigma Complex in groundwater and allow a speedier cleanup of the groundwater pollution.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

- 18. The Water Quality Control Plan, Fourth Edition, for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins, Fourth Edition, (hereafter Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives (WQOs), contains implementation plans and policies for protecting waters of the basin, and incorporates by reference plans and policies adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board). Pursuant to ¶ 13263(a) of the California Water Code (CWC), waste discharge requirements must implement the Basin Plan.
- 19. Surface water drainage is to Morrison Creek, tributary to Stone Lakes, tributary to the Sacramento River River. The beneficial uses of the Sacramento River are municipal and domestic supply; agricultural irrigation and stock watering supply; process and service industrial supply; contact

INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

recreation, other noncontact recreation; warm and cold freshwater habitat; warm and cold migration; warm water spawning; wildlife habitat; and navigation.

- 20. The designated beneficial uses of underlying groundwater include:
 - a. Municipal and domestic water supply (MUN);
 - b. Agricultural water supply (AGR);
 - c. Industrial service supply (IND); and
 - d. Industrial process supply (PRO).
- 21. The Basin Plan establishes numerical and narrative water quality objectives for surface and groundwater within the basin, and recognizes that water quality objectives are achieved primarily through the Board's adoption of waste discharge requirements and enforcement orders. Where numerical water quality objectives are listed, these are limits necessary for the reasonable protection of beneficial uses of the water. Where compliance with narrative water quality objectives is required, the Board will, on a case-by-case basis, adopt numerical limitations in orders, which will implement the narrative objectives to protect beneficial uses of the waters of the state.
- 22. The Basin Plan identifies numerical water quality objectives for waters designated as municipal supply. These are the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) specified in the following provisions of Title 22, California Code of Regulations: Tables 64431-A (Inorganic Chemicals) and 64431-B (Fluoride) of Section 64431, Table 64444-A (Organic Chemicals) of Section 64444, and Table 64449-A (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels-Consumer Acceptance Limits) of Section 64449. The Basin Plan's incorporation of these provisions by reference is prospective, and includes future changes to the incorporated provisions as the changes take effect. The Basin Plan recognizes that the Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 23. The Basin Plan contains narrative water quality objectives for chemical constituents, tastes and odors, and toxicity. The toxicity objective requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in humans, plants or animals. The chemical constituent objective requires that groundwater shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses. The tastes and odors objective requires that groundwater shall not contain tastes or odors producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 24. Section 13241 of the Water Code requires the Regional Board to consider various factors, including economic considerations, when adopting water quality objectives into its Basin Plan. Water Code Section 13263 requires the Regional Board to address the factors in Section 13241 in adopting waste discharge requirements. The State Board, however, has held that a Regional Board need not specifically address the Section 13241 factors when implementing existing water quality objectives in waste discharge requirements because the factors were already considered in adopting water quality objectives. These waste discharge requirements implement adopted water quality objectives. Therefore, no additional analysis of Section 13241 factors is required.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

- 25. State Board Resolution No. 92-49 (hereafter Resolution No. 92-49) requires the Regional Board to require actions for cleanup and abatement of discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution or nuisance to conform to the provisions of State Board Resolution No. 68-16 (hereafter Resolution No. 68-16) and the Basin Plan. Pursuant to Resolution No. 92-49, the Regional Board shall ensure that dischargers are required to clean up and abate the effects of discharges in a manner that promotes attainment of either background water quality, or if background levels of water quality cannot be restored, the best water quality which is reasonable and which complies with the Basin Plan including applicable WQOs.
- 26. Resolution No. 68-16 requires the Board in regulating discharges to maintain high quality waters of the State until it is demonstrated that any change in quality will be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State, will not unreasonably affect present and potential beneficial uses, and will not result in water quality less than that described in plans and policies (e.g., quality that exceeds WQOs). Temporal degradation of groundwater may occur at this site within the treatment zone due to the amended groundwater injection. The temporary degradation allowed by this Order is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16 since (1) the purpose is to accelerate and enhance remediation of groundwater pollution and such remediation will benefit the people of the State; (2) the discharge facilitates a project to evaluate the effectiveness of cleanup technology in accord with Resolution No. 92-49; (3) the degradation is limited in scope and duration; (4) best practicable treatment and control, including adequate monitoring and hydraulic control to assure protection of water quality, are required; and (5) the discharge will not cause WQOs to be exceeded beyond the treatment zone. A slight residual increase in salts or some dissolved metals may occur but will be limited to a maximum 10 percent increase over background and less than the Water Quality Objective (WQO) listed below in Finding No. 24. See Groundwater Limitation XX.
- 27. These Waste Discharge Requirements deal with water quality as it relates to the chemicals being injected, as well as the byproducts and breakdown products produced by the reactions of the injectants, chemicals being treated and geological materials. Cleanup criteria for groundwater at this site will be established in a forthcoming Cleanup and Abatement Order and/or Remedial Action Plan and are not discussed further as a part of this order. As discussed above, chemicals are injected to stimulate reduction in concentrations of the target pollutants. The target pollutant may undergo a series of transformations to other pollutants as it degrades. The injected chemical itself may leave residuals of its components, as well as, cause changes in groundwater chemistry that liberates metals found in the formation materials. Background/baseline concentrations of metals and total dissolved solids will be established pursuant to the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. The applicable WQOs are the narrative toxicity objective, Primary and Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels, and the taste and odor objective as found in the Basin Plan. Numerical limits in this Order implement those Objectives. The following are the numerical WQOs for potential pollutants of concern at the site:

| Constituent | WQO | Reference |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| trichloroethylene | 0.7 μg/L | California Public Health Goal |
| tetrachlorethylene | 0.06 μg/L | California Public Health Goal |
| vinyl chloride | 0.05 μg/L | California Public Health Goal |
| cis 1,2-dichlorethylene | 6 μg/L | Primary Maximum Contaminant Level |
| 1,2-dichlorethylene | 10 μg/L | Primary Maximum Contaminant Level |

SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

| 1,2-dichloroethane | 0.4 μg/L | California Public Health Goal |
|------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|
| Constituent | WQO | Reference |
| 1,1-dichloroethylene | 6 μg/L | Primary Maximum Contaminant Level |
| 1,1-dichloroethane | 3 μg/L | California Public Health Goal |
| iron | 300 μg/L | Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level |
| manganese | 50 μg/L | Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level |
| total dissolved solids | 450 mg/L | Food and Agricultural Organization – Sensitive |
| | | Crop Protection |
| bromide | 2300 μg/L | National Academy of Sciences Health Advisory |
| | | Level |

- 28. Several of the electron donors that can and have been used to stimulate degradation of perchlorate in groundwater have a salt component (generally sodium or potassium). Upon completion of the degradation process the salt component remains. The groundwater in the Central Valley is severely impacted by salts and the Regional Board is intent on minimizing the discharge of salts to the groundwater. The use of non salt-containing injectants is preferred and the Discharger is required to demonstrate that there are no non salt-containing injectants alternatives that will cost-effectively promote the degradation of the target pollutant before being allowed to use a salt-containing injectant. Currently, the Discharger is proposing to use citric acid, a salt-free electron donor. See Discharge Specification B.1.
- 29. The action to adopt these Waste Discharge Requirements for the Boeing Company is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000, et seq.) (CEQA) because it: (1) authorizes activity that will result in a minor modification to land pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15304; (2) consists of an action by a regulatory agency authorizing actions for the protection of the environment pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15308; and (3) authorizes minor cleanup actions costing \$1.5 million or less that are taken to prevent, minimize, stabilize, mitigate, or eliminate the release or threat of release of a hazardous waste or substance pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15330.
- 30. The discharge is exempt from the requirements of *Consolidated Regulations for Treatment, Storage, Processing, or Disposal of Solid Waste*, set forth in the Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 20005 *et seq.* (hereafter Title 27), which allows a conditional exemption from some or all of the provisions of Title 27. The exemption, pursuant to Title 27 CCR Section 20090(b), is based on the following:
 - a. The Regional Water Board is issuing Waste Discharge Requirements.
 - b. The discharge is in compliance with the applicable Basin Plan.
 - c. The wastewater does not need to be managed according to Title 22CCR, Division 4.5 and Chapter 11 as a hazardous waste.

Section 20090(d) allows exemption for a project to cleanup a condition of pollution that resulted from an unauthorized release of waste based on the following:

WASTE DISCAHRGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R5-2007-XXXX THE BOEING COMPANY SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

- d. The discharge of citric acid, ethanol or lactate to groundwater is at the direction of the Regional Water Board to cleanup and abate conditions of pollution or nuisance resulting from the unauthorized release of pollutants.
- e. Wastes removed from the immediate place of release will be discharged according to the Title 27 regulations; and
- f. The remedial actions intended to contain wastes at the place of release shall implement the Title 27 regulations to the extent feasible.
- 31. Section 13267(b) of the California Water Code provides that:

"In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the Regional Board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge within its region, or any citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste outside of its region that could affect the quality of the waters of the state within its region shall furnish under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the Regional Board requires. The burden, including costs of these reports, shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the reports and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring these reports, the Regional Board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports."

The technical reports required by this Order and the attached MRP No. R5-2007-xxxx are necessary to assure compliance with these WDRs. The Discharger operates the facility that discharges the waste subject to this Order.

- 32. The California Department of Water Resources sets standards for the construction and destruction of groundwater wells, as described in *California Well Standards Bulletin No. 74-90* (June 1991) and *Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin No. 94-81* (December 1981). These standards, and any more stringent standards implemented by the Regional Water Board or adopted by Sacramento County pursuant to California Water Code Section 13801 apply to all monitoring and injection wells.
- 33. Section 3020(b)(2) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) states that prior to injection into or above an underground source of drinking water, contaminated groundwater shall be "...treated to substantially reduce hazardous constituents prior to such injection." In a letter dated 10 December 1999, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) states, "if extracted groundwater is amended at the surface (i.e., "treated") before reinjection, and the subsequent in-situ bioremediation achieves a substantial reduction of hazardous constituents the remedy would satisfy Section 3020(b)(2)." Therefore, the injection of groundwater within the treatment zone at this site, with or without the treatment for VOCs, complies with Section 3020(2)(b) of RCRA.
- 34. Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13263(g), discharge is a privilege, not a right, and adoption of this Order does not create a vested right to continue the discharge.

WASTE DISCAHRGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R5-2007-XXXX THE BOEING COMPANY SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Public Notice

- 35. All the above and the supplemental data and information and details in the attached Information Sheet, which is incorporated by reference herein, were considered in establishing the following conditions of discharge.
- 36. The Regional Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for the in-situ treatment technology discussed in this Order, and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations.
- 37. In a public meeting, all comments pertaining to these Waste Discharge Requirements were heard and considered.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Sections 13263 and 13267 of the California Water Code, The Boeing Company, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code, and regulations and guidelines adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:

A. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

- 1. The discharge of any amendment or other materials not specifically regulated by this Order is prohibited.
- 2. Creation of a pollution, contamination, or nuisance, as defined by Section 13050 of the California Water Code (CWC), is prohibited.
- 3. The discharge of wastes to surface water or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.
- 4. The discharge amendments in areas other than that proposed for remediation is prohibited.
- 5. Discharge of waste classified as 'hazardous' under Section 2521, Chapter 15 of Title 23 or 'designated', as defined in Section 13173 of California Water Code is prohibited.
- 6. The discharge of citric acid, ethanol or lactate, and/or any other groundwater amendments used by the Discharger, or any chemical by-products of the in-situ treatment into any surface water or surface water drainage course is prohibited.

B. DISCHARGE SPECIFICATIONS

- 1. The daily average flow shall not exceed shall not exceed 360,000 gallons per day (gpd).
- 2. The recharged groundwater shall not be amended with materials other than ethanol, citric acid, lactate, bromide or chlorine dioxide.

WASTE DISCAHRGE REQUIREMENTS ORDER NO. R5-2007-XXXX THE BOEING COMPANY SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

- 3. Prior to the injection of any amendments, the Discharger shall submit information required in Monitoring and Reporting Progam No. R5-2007-XXXX regarding the chemical content of the amendment and receive approval of Regional Board staff to use the amendment.
- 5.4. The discharge shall not cause pollution or nuisance as defined by the California Water Code.
- 5. During any single injection, the Discharger shall not add more than three times the stoichemetric-derived demand for organic substrate.
- 6. The Discharger will limit the injection of amendments to the extent practicable.

C. GROUNDWATER LIMITATIONS

- 1. The discharge shall not cause the groundwater at the compliance wells listed in Table 1 of Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2007-XXXX to contain concentrations of chemical constituents, including the amendments and by-products of the in-situ treament process, in amounts that exceed the Water Quality Objectives listed in Finding No. 24.
- 2. The discharge shall not cause the groundwater at the compliance monitor wells to contain concentrations of metals, total dissolved solids, and electrical conductivity that are more than 10% greater than their respective background concentrations, as established by Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2007-XXXX.
- 3. The discharge shall not cause the groundwater to contain taste and odor producing substances that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses at the compliance monitor points designated in Table 1 of Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2007-XXXX.

D. PROVISIONS

- 1. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable Standard provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements, dated 1 March 1991, which are attached hereto and by reference are a part of this Order. This attachment and its individual paragraphs are commonly referenced as Standard Provisions.
- 2. The Discharger may be required to submit technical reports pursuant to California Water Code Section 13267 as directed by the executive Officer. The technical reports required by this Order are necessary to assure compliance with this Order.
- 3. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design or other work requiring interpretation or proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, sections 6735, 7835 and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Title 16, CCR, Sections 415 and 3065, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As

INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.

- 4. At least 30 days prior to the commencement of operation, the Discharger shall submit an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan for the groundwater biotreatment facilities. The O&M Plan shall instruct field personnel on how to manage the day-to-day discharge operations to comply with the terms and conditions of this Order and how to make field adjustments, as necessary. A copy of the O&M Plan shall be kept at the facility for reference by operating personnel. Key personnel shall be familiar with its contents.
- 5. The Discharger shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2007-XXXX, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto as ordered by the Executive Officer.
- 6. A copy of this Order shall be maintained at the project site and be available at all times to operating personnel.
- 7. The discharger shall maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible any facility or control system installed by the discharger to achieve compliance with these Waste Discharge Requirements.
- 8. The discharger shall promptly report to the Regional Water Board any violation of this Order, material change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
- 9. In the event of any change in control or ownership of land or waste discharge facilities presently owned or controlled by the discharger, the discharger shall notify the succeeding owner or operator of the following items by letter, in advance of the transfer of ownership or control, and a copy of the notice must be forwarded to the Regional Water Board:
 - a. existence of this Order; and
 - b. the status of the dischargers' annual fee account
- 10. This Order does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privileges. The requirements prescribed herein do not authorize the commission of any act causing injury to persons or property, nor protect the discharger from his liability under Federal, State, or Local laws, nor create a vested right for the discharger to continue the waste discharge.
- 11. Chemical, bacteriological, and bioassay analyses must be conducted at a laboratory certified for such analyses by the State Department of Health Services.
- 12. All reports, or other documents required by these WDRs, and other information requested by the Regional Board shall be signed by a person described below or by a duly authorized representative of that person.

SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE SACRAMENTO COUNTY

- a. for a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer such as: (a) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function; (b) any other person who performs similar policy or decision making functions for the corporation; or (c) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- b. Reports required by this Order, other information requested by the Regional Water Board, and NOI's may be signed by a duly authorized representative provided:
 - i. the authorization is made in writing by a person described in paragraph (a) of this provision;
 - ii. the authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company; and
 - iii. the written authorization is submitted to the Regional Water Board prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications signed by the authorized representative.
- c. Any person signing a document under paragraph (a) or (b) of this provision shall make the following certification: "I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted, is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- 13. The discharger shall permit authorized staff of the Regional Water Board:
 - a. entry to the project site covered by these Waste Discharge Requirements or in which any required records are kept;
 - b. access to copy any records required to be kept under terms and conditions of this Order:
 - c. inspection of monitoring equipment or records; and

SIGMA COMPLEX IN-SITU GROUNDWATER BIOREMEDATION PROJECT INACTIVE RANCHO CORDOVA TEST SITE

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

- d. sampling of any discharge.
- 14. In the event the discharger is unable to comply with any of the conditions of this Order due to:
 - a. breakdown of any facility or control system or monitoring equipment installed by the Discharger to achieve compliance with this Order;
 - b. migration or application of substances, pollutants or byproducts outside the specified treatment area;
 - c. accidents caused by human error or negligence; or
 - d. other causes such as acts of nature;

the discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by telephone as soon as he or his agents have knowledge of the incident and confirm this notification in writing within two weeks of the telephone notification. The written notification shall include pertinent information explaining reasons for the noncompliance and shall indicate the steps taken to correct the problem and the dates thereof, and the steps being taken to prevent the problem from recurring.

- 15. The Regional Water Board may review this Order periodically and may revise requirements when necessary. In addition, the discharger shall file a report of waste discharge with the Executive Officer at least 120 days before making any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
- 16. Project coverage under these Waste Discharge Requirements may be terminated, by the Executive Officer at any time upon giving reasonable notice to the Discharger.

| I, Pamela C. Creedon, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley |
| Region, on |
| |

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer